1	WILLIAM L. ANTHONY (State Bar No. 106908) ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696)		
2	MARK R. WEINSTEIN (State Bar No. 193043) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP		
3	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025		
4	Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401		
5	· ·		
6	JOHN W. KEKER (State Bar No. 49092) MICHAEL H. PAGE (State Bar No. 154913)		
7	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP 710 Sansome Street		
8	San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400		
9	Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	·	
	Additional Counsel Listed at Signature Block		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	OAKLAND DIVISION		
14			
15	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MJE)	
16	Plaintiff,	PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND	
17	v.	PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE	
19	Washington corporation,	COURT'S ORDER ENTERED 2/24/03	
20	Defendant.		
21			
22	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	·	
23			
24	Counterclaimant, v.		
25	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES		
	CODDOD ATION a Delaware comporation		
26 1	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,		
26	Counter Claim-Defendant.		
26 27 28			

DOCSSV1:224822.1

PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT, Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) In accordance with the Court's Order entered February 24, 2003 and Patent Local Rule 4-3, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant InterTrust Technologies ("InterTrust") and Defendant and Counter-Claimant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") submit the following revised Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. Pursuant to that Order, the parties have limited their disputes for purposes of the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, to 30 disputed terms and phrases, as identified in alphabetical order in Exhibit B and highlighted in copies of the claims in Exhibit H, hereto.

Submission of "Intrinsic" Evidence

To avoid unnecessary duplication, the parties will submit, prior to the submission of the final briefs in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding (including briefing addressing indefiniteness), a Joint Declaration presenting the Intrinsic evidence (including patents, file histories and cited references). The parties agree that in briefs submitted in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, a party may cite to evidence that ultimately will be submitted by the parties in such Joint Declaration and need not append such evidence to a declaration in support of a brief. This agreement does not limit either party from submitting any evidence with a declaration accompanying any brief.

RULE 4-3(a): Agreed Construction

Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a list of claim constructions upon which the parties agree.

To the extent that agreed constructions refer to disputed terms that are not among the 30 terms in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, such terms are set forth in quotations.

RULE 4-3(b): Disputed Claim Construction Presentation

- Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a list of disputed claim terms set forth in claim order, together with the parties' proposed constructions.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a list of the 30 disputed claim terms in alphabetical order,
 together with the parties' proposed constructions.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit C is InterTrust's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic
 evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and
 phrases.

1	• Attached hereto as Exhibit D is Microsoft's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic		
2	evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and		
3	phrases.		
4	Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a Microsoft statement of reservations.		
5	• Attached hereto as Exhibit H is the text of the 12 claims at issue, with bolding identifying		
6	the terms and phrases in dispute for the purposes of the "Mini-Markman" proceeding.		
7	RULE 4-3(c): Claim Construction Hearing Length		
8	The claim construction schedule is set forth in the Court's Order entered February		
9	24, 2003.		
10	RULE 4-3(d): Witness Testimony		
11	The parties have agreed to present witness testimony through declarations filed in		
12	support of the briefs. There also shall be tutorial presentations, per the Court's Order of February		
13	24, 2003.		
14	Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by		
15	InterTrust.		
16	• Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by		
17	Microsoft.		
18	RULE 4-3(e): Pre-Hearing Conference Issues		
19	The parties addressed pre-hearing matters at the Case Management Conference		
20	hearing on February 13, 2003. No pre-hearing conference is currently scheduled or requested.		
21	Dated: March 14, 2003 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION		
22	MARK SCADINA - #173103 JEFF MCDOW - #184727		
23	4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054		
24	Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144		
25			
26	By: Jeff McDow Jeff McDow		
27			
28			

PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM
- 2 - CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING
STATEMENT, Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
.10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Dated: March 14, 2003



KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP MICHAEL H. PAGE

DERWIN & SIEGEL DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 3280 Alpine Road Portola Valley, CA 94028 (650) 529-8700 Telephone:

Facsimile:

(650) 529-8799

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION WILLIAM L. ANTHONY HEIDI L. KEEFE MARK R. WEINSTEIN ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

By:

KLARÕUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center 121 S.W. Salmon, Suite 1600 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: Facsimile:

(503) 226-7391 (503) 228-9446

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation

28